I have heard that Sinclair Broadcasting is directing corporate stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary just days before the election. To me, is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control such a large portion of the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the company—or one person's opinion of what's good and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see the people from our own communities and news about issues that matter right in the local community.

Sinclair's actions show that we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.